

## Appendix 3

### Brighton Marina Business / Neighbourhood Area and Forum Applications - Consultation Summary

To facilitate the consultation process and to help ensure representations were not misinterpreted a questionnaire was provided and the consultation portal used in addition to other written methods for example, letter or email.

#### Responses

<b>Number of people who participated to the consultation</b>	
<i>Consultation portal</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Email</i>	<i>2 (5 in total however 3 also responded on portal)</i>
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Number of respondents from within area</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Number of respondents from outside area</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Number of respondents location indefinable</b>	<b>1</b>

The following organisations/bodies submitted representations:

<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Object/Support Applications</b>	<b>Response in Brief</b>
Kemp Town Society	Object	Area should be bigger
South Downs Society	Object	Area should be bigger - response was an endorsement of Kemp Town Society's comments
Land Securities	Object	Area should be bigger
Brighton Marina Berth Holders Association	Object to Forum	Berth Holders should be allowed to be Forum Members

The following sets out the responses to the questions set out on the consultation portal. The email responses did not specifically answer these questions, however, an 'interpreted/assumed' response from the comments presented in the emails is shown in brackets.

<b>Question 1 - Do you recognise the proposed area as a distinct neighbourhood?</b>	<b>Portal responses</b>	<b>Interpreted response - emails</b>
Don't know/not sure		
No	9	(1)
Yes	5	(1)
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>(2)</b>

<b>Question 2 - Do you think that the boundaries of the proposed area are appropriate?</b>	<b>Portal responses</b>	<b>Interpreted response - emails</b>
Don't know/not sure		
No	10	1(1)
Yes	3	
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1(1)</b>

Nb one respondent on portal left this question blank

<b>Question 3 - Do you think the area is wholly or predominantly business in nature and therefore agree it should be designated a Business Area?</b>	<b>Portal responses</b>	<b>Interpreted response - emails</b>
Don't know/not sure		?
No	13	?
Yes	1	?
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	

NB Question 4 was for respondents to add any further comments about the neighbourhood area. Please see the key issues section below which sets out the main points raised in response to question 4 and 7 and in emails.

<b>Question 5 - Do you think the Brighton Marina Neighbourhood Forum should be approved (designated)?</b>	<b>Portal responses</b>	<b>Interpreted response - emails</b>
Don't know/not sure	2	?
No	8	(2)
Yes	3	?
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>(2)</b>

Nb one respondent on portal left this question blank and there was insufficient direction in one email to provide an interpreted assumption.

<b>Question 6 - Is the Forum representative of people who live and work in the area?</b>	<b>Portal responses</b>	<b>Interpreted response -</b>
--	-------------------------	-------------------------------

		emails
Don't know/not sure	5	
No	8	(2)
Yes		
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>(2)</b>

Nb one respondent on portal left this question blank

NB Question 7 was for respondents to add any further comments about the neighbourhood forum. Please see the key issues section below which sets out the main points raised in response to questions 4 and 7 and in emails.

## Key Issues Raised in Representations

Comments were submitted in response to question 4 and 7 and via emails. The main issues raised have been summarised below. Please note some respondents raised more than one issue. It is also important to note the analysis only indicates the number of people who have raised the issue, it should not therefore be assumed all other respondents think the opposite.

Issue	Count
<b>The Area (and the forum) should be bigger :</b>	<b>10</b>
It should include :	
Roedean;	9
Marine Gate;	5
the Kemp Town Estate;	6
Arundel Street;	2
The French Apartments;	1
Courcels;	3
Gaswork site (see **);	3
Black Rock (see **);	5
East Brighton Park;	1
The Cliffs (views off);	1
Bell Tower Estate;	1
All who overlook Marina and need access;	1
*(Marine Gate and Roedean count includes response seeking inclusion of area above the cliff to the north of Marina)	2
** (Gasworks and Blackrock count includes response seeking area alignment with the DA2 boundary)	1
<b>Lack of infrastructure - Marina has no school or NHS presence of its own. Too small to be a viable neighbourhood. Any increase in development at Marina will have direct traffic impacts upon adjoining area as access only via ramps. (one respondent raised lack of a park)</b>	<b>2</b>
Regard should be given to the surrounding area – it is a highly sensitive site: a variety of different elements were detailed by respondents including: Ecological/wildlife assets, environmental, architectural, traffic, South Downs National Park/the Downs, recreational assets (including sailing, walking, cycling, fishing and the important SE surf spot to the east of Marina,).	<b>4</b>

Proposal will enable commercially interested parties/Brunswick Development Group within Marina to be dominant and will not adequately reflect the broader interests of all those who should be embraced under the scope of 'localism' for this area (eg those within and in surrounding area who will be affected).	<b>5</b>
Forum membership is not representative. The various reasons provided included (nb each respondent may have indicated more than one): Workers and enterprise under represented in view of importance of Marina for employment and enterprise; Forum age profile not representative; Occupants muzzled by communal associations/Brunswick Group; Area needs to be extended and forum amended to be representative of wider area; Constitution focuses on well being of individuals living or wanting to live in Marina and fails to make reference to people working or wanting to work in the Marina. Fails to meet DA2 which seeks sustainable mixed use district; Meetings will fail to represent those at work (outside area) due to meeting times/ Forum should exclude BMRA.)	<b>6</b>        5     
The Marina Berth Holders should be allowed to be included within the Forum. Marina includes approximately 1,000 boats used by at least 3,000 people who are not represented on the Forum.	<b>1</b>

### Late Representations

3 late representations were submitted these were as follows:

<b>Organisation/Person</b>	<b>Object/Support Applications</b>	<b>Response in Brief</b>
Brighton Marina Neighbourhood Forum	Support	Rebuttal to Kemp Town Society Comments
Brighton Marina Residents Association	Support	Rebuttal to Kemp Town Society Comments
Individual	Support	Support for Brighton Marina Residents Association's comments